

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

APPLICATION NO	). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,745		09/01/2000	David M. Orlicki	79594PRC	7150
1333	7590	10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
BETH READ				JERABEK, KELLY L	
PATENT	LEGAL ST	CAFF			
<b>EASTMA</b>	N KODAK	COMPANY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 STAT	E STREET	•	2612		
ROCHEST	TER, NY	14650-2201			_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>X</b>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/654,745	ORLICKI ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kelly L. Jerabek	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replete the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 ( y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	·				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	Illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended independent claims 1, 13, and 21 raise new issues and therefore will require a further search by the Examiner .

NGOC-YENVU

PRIMARY EXAMINER